

EXCEPTION TO SF 30, APPROVED BY NARS 5/79				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1 OF 1
AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT					
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. M059	3. EFFECTIVE DATE October 1 st , 2008	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. NA27344	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)		
6. ISSUED BY CODE		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)			
U.S. Department of Energy/NNSA SC M&O Contract Support Division P.O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, NM 87185-5400		U.S. Department of Energy/NNSA Livermore Site Office M/S L-293 7000 East Avenue Livermore, CA 94550			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, country, State, and ZIP Code)			9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.		
Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory M/S L-294 7000 East Avenue Livermore, CA 94550					
			9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)		
			X 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ ORDER NO. DE-AC52-07NA27344		
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) May 8, 2007		
CODE	FACILITY CODE				
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended. is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 25, and returning ____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required) see attached					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN CONTRACT/ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103 (b).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
X D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) Modification Pursuant to Contract Clause H-13, PERFORMANCE BASED MANAGEMENT					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor __ is not, _XX_ is required to sign this document and return _2_ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) This Modification incorporates the FY 2009 Performance Evaluation Plan (PEP), Revision 1.0 into Section J, Appendix F of the contract. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) Kathleen K. Vaselopoulos Director, Prime Contract Management			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Homer Williamson, Contracting Officer U.S. Department of Energy/NNSA		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)*		15C. DATE SIGNED 1/23/09	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA By (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 1/28/09

FISCAL YEAR 2009

**PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PLAN
REVISION 1.0**

FOR

**LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL SECURITY, LLC
in the OPERATION and MANAGEMENT of
LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY
CONTRACT No. DE-AC52-07NA27344**

PREFACE

This Performance Evaluation Plan (PEP) documents the process and standards of performance by which the Contractor's performance will be evaluated and rated under Contract No. DE-AC52-07NA27344 in fiscal year (FY) 2009 and upon which the determination of the Performance Incentive Fee earned shall be based. This PEP is implemented in accordance with contract provision H-13, "Performance Based Management" and H-14, "Award Term." Further, this PEP is consistent with contract provision B-2, "Contract Type and Value." B-2 states that for FY 2008 through FY 2014, 30% of the Maximum Available Fee will be applied to Fixed Fee and 70% of the Maximum Available Fee will be applied to Performance Incentive Fee. The Maximum Available Fee is \$45,542,169 for fiscal year 2009. This PEP does not address the Fixed Fee earned in support of Work For Others as set forth in contract provision B-2.

The standards of performance set forth in this PEP consist of Strategic Performance Objectives and Performance Incentives, and are the primary components of the performance-based management system described in contract provision H-13, "Performance-Based Management." The Strategic Performance Objectives in this PEP are organized by Mission, Operations, and Institutional Management (IM) and are linked to the DOE Strategic Plan goals, NNSA's "Getting the Job Done" goals, NNSA transformation plans (outlined in the DOE/NA-0013, "Complex Transformation SPEIS dated October 23, 2006) and NNSA Program Implementation Plans. Performance Incentives in this PEP include Stretch Incentives, Multi-Site Incentives and Award Term Incentives.

STRATEGIC PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

The Strategic Performance Objectives are used to subjectively evaluate the Contractor's overall performance and focus on strategic and mission-critical activities and appraise the Contractor's systems and outcomes in terms of:

- Are they producing appropriate national security, science and technology results?
- Are they producing these results efficiently, safely and securely?

For FY 2009, ten Strategic Performance Objectives are identified as summarized below and detailed in Attachment I.

1. Conduct warhead certification and assessment actions using the Quantification of Margins and Uncertainties (QMU) methodology.
2. Develop with NNSA and implement long-term, balanced, integrated stewardship.
3. Develop with NNSA and implement near-term balanced weapon programs that are coordinated with the other NNSA M&O site contractors and DoD customers and that foster complex-wide solutions to meet the needs of the U.S. nuclear deterrent.

Part III, Section J, Appendix F

4. Implement an integrated science- and technology-based program aimed at preventing the proliferation or terrorist acquisition of weapons of mass destruction as well as detecting and responding to their deployment or use.
5. Enhance and nurture a strong science, engineering, and technology base in support of national security strategic objectives.
6. Optimize current and evolving mission performance by providing effective and efficient facilities and infrastructure and line management accountability.
7. Maintain safe and environmentally sound operations in an efficient and effective manner in support of mission objectives.
8. Maintain secure operations in an efficient and effective manner in support of mission objectives.
9. Manage business operations in an effective and efficient manner while safeguarding public assets and supporting mission objectives.
10. Improve the management and performance of the Laboratory through execution of the Contractor Assurance System, Strategic Initiatives, Parent Organizations' contributions, and line management accountability.

The Strategic Performance Objectives are organized by Mission, Operations, and Institutional Management (IM) and are further defined by performance measures and targets as delineated in Attachment 1. Essential targets represent priority work that must be accomplished in order to meet requirements in Mission, Operations, and Institutional Management. Stretch targets represent work that is above and beyond the minimum essential performance requirements. The subjective rating of each Performance Objective will be based on the Contractor's overall performance against all contract requirements as well as each of the performance targets.

The Mission Performance Objectives (1 – 6) are used to evaluate the performance and management of the Contractor's programmatic work. The Operations Performance Objectives (7 – 8) are used to evaluate the performance and management of the Contractor's critical operations and infrastructure activities supporting the mission Performance. The IM Performance Objectives (9 – 10) are used to evaluate the performance of the Contractor's critical business and institutional management activities supporting the mission.

The Contractor's performance under the Strategic Performance Objectives will be assessed at the summary level (Mission, Operations, IM) using the four tier Adjectival Rating Criteria set forth in Table 1. The Contractor may earn up to 50% of the Performance Incentive Fee based on this subjective rating, which reflects performance against each of the Performance Objectives, Measures, and targets. Essential targets are not separately rated for the purpose of establishing Adjectival Ratings and the associated subjective incentive fee. Performance will be assessed against the applicable evaluation criteria using a variety of different approaches including, but not limited to, peer review, external reviews, achievement of milestones relevant to targets, customer feedback, and program reviews. The evaluation of performance will consider unanticipated barriers (e.g., budget changes, rule changes, circumstances outside the control of the contractor) and other circumstances that may occur during the performance period. Effective contractor efforts to overcome or mitigate the impact of such barriers or circumstances will be a

Part III, Section J, Appendix F

factor in evaluating contractor performance. The evaluation of performance will also consider the Contractor's performance against all of the Level 1 and 2 milestones associated with each of the Strategic Performance Objectives.

PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES

Performance Incentives include Stretch Incentives, Multi-Site Incentives and Award Term Incentives. The Contractor may earn up to 40% of the Performance Incentive Fee by obtaining the required minimum Adjectival Rating on the Strategic Performance Objectives and successfully completing the Stretch Performance Targets. Stretch Targets are delineated in Attachment 1 and represent work that is above and beyond the minimum essential performance requirements. Each stretch target provides the Contractor the opportunity to earn additional incentive fee for successfully achieving challenging goals and objectives. Performance against each individual Stretch Target is separately rated based on objective and measurable criteria on a pass/fail basis. Notwithstanding the pass/fail rating for each stretch target, progress made toward achieving stretch targets will be factored into the subjective rating of the Strategic Performance Objectives. The Stretch Incentive Fee is allocated to the following categories: Mission, Operations, and IM. Within any category, the Contractor must earn a Performance Objective Summary Level Rating of "good" or better in order to be eligible for the associated Stretch Incentive Fee. Once the Contractor has passed this "gateway," it will earn the associated Stretch Incentive Fee based on the percentage of Stretch Targets successfully completed. The Contractor will not receive credit for completing Stretch Targets that are associated with a Performance Objective or Measure that receives an Adjectival Rating of "unsatisfactory."

The Contractor may earn up to 10% of the Performance Incentive Fee by successfully completing the Multi-Site Performance Incentives set forth in Attachment 2. The Multi-Site Incentives are intended to encourage cooperation, collaboration, and integration across the Nuclear Weapons Complex (NWC) in order to accomplish the NNSA "Getting the Job Done" goals and measure the performance of the entire NWC in terms of achievement of a collective outcome. Performance against each individual Multi-Site Incentives is separately rated by NNSA Program Officials on a pass/fail basis for the entire complex based on the criteria set forth in Attachment 2.

Pursuant to contract provision H-14, "Award Term," commencing in FY 2009, the Contract's term will be extended if the Contractor both (1) obtains the required rating on the Performance Incentive Fee section's objectives contained in the PEP, and (2) meets the Award Term Incentives set forth in the Award Term section of the PEP. In order to be eligible to earn the Award Term Incentive, the Contractor must earn a Performance Objective Summary Level Rating of "good" or better in Mission, Operations, and IM and successfully complete at least four out of the five Award Term Incentives, which are set forth below:

Part III, Section J, Appendix F

Award Term Incentives:

ATI #1 Contractor Assurance System

Conduct a management self-assessment of the LLNL CAS by 06/30/09. Develop an improvement plan, as necessary, in response to the assessment and execute the plan on schedule.

ATI #2 Mission

Demonstrate successful, responsive stewardship of the nuclear weapons stockpile. Measures include on-time completion of Directive Schedule responsibilities, timely support of requests for technical support from the nuclear weapon complex, enhancement of assessment and certification capabilities, leadership and support of transformation initiatives, and effective management of RTBF facilities. The expectations are that LLNL will strengthen national security by meeting commitments required to sustain a safe, secure, and reliable nuclear weapons stockpile; maintain a capable and responsive infrastructure, and conduct research and development essential to our national security responsibilities.

ATI #3 Laboratory Management- Key Personnel

For Key Personnel, demonstrate significant improvements in retention, recruitment, and succession planning in order to ensure effective Laboratory management. Measures include turnover rate, length of time to fill vacancies, qualifications of proposed successors, and development and execution of an effective succession planning program. The expectation is that LLNS will provide a stable world-class management team in order to successfully execute the missions of the Laboratory and meet its performance improvement and cost savings commitments in FY 2009 and beyond.

ATI #4 Security Improvements

Demonstrate significant improvements in protective force operations, physical security, classified cyber security, protection program management, security planning, AOP implementation, and implement a robust performance assurance program. Measures include the number and severity of findings disclosed through internal and external audits and reviews. The expectation is that LLNS will fully implement in FY 2009 all of the corrective actions set forth in its recovery and corrective action plans submitted in response to the May 2008 HSS audit as well as implement an assurance program to improve security performance in FY 2009 and beyond.

ATI #5 ISM Implementation

LLNS will obtain Phase I certification of the LLNL ISMS from LSO by June 30, 2009, and will be fully prepared for Phase II certification by September 30, 2009. Measures include the submission of the LLNL ISMSD by March 31, 2009, LLNL Director's declaration of readiness

Part III, Section J, Appendix F

for Phase I certification by June 1, 2009, and LLNL's Director's declaration of readiness for Phase II certification by September 30, 2009.

PERFORMANCE RATING PROCESS

Approximately six months into the performance period, NNSA and the Contractor will hold a Mid-Year Performance Assessment meeting to present the Contractor's self-assessment and NNSA's performance assessment. Approximately one week prior to the scheduled mid-year performance assessment meeting, the Contractor will submit its draft self-assessment for NNSA review. The mid-year assessment will consist of (1) Adjectival Ratings for each of the Strategic Performance Objectives and measures, (2) stoplight ratings for each of the Essential targets, (3) stoplight ratings for each of the Stretch Incentives, stoplight ratings for each of the Multi-Site Incentives, (4) stoplight ratings for each of the Award Term Incentives, and (5) a summary of performance issues and concerns, corrective actions taken, internal controls implemented, and major accomplishments. The presentation will be a bullet-type format with limited narrative. The Stop Light indicators are set forth as follows:

- Green: No significant issues, performance meeting expectations.
- Yellow: Issues/concerns that require discussion, executive management awareness or action not required to resolve.
- Red: Major or serious issues, executive management awareness or action required to resolve.
- White: Too early to evaluate or no data available.

The parties recognize that open and frequent communications during the rating period are critical in achieving the highest level of performance by the Contractor and that formal or informal performance assessment meetings may be established on a more frequent basis as agreed to by the parties.

The Contractor shall prepare an annual self-assessment of its performance against each of the performance objectives and incentives contained in the PEP. The self-assessment will include (1) Adjectival Ratings for each of the Strategic Performance Objectives and Measures, (2) summary level Adjectival Ratings for Mission, Operations, and IM, and (3) completion status of all Stretch Incentives, Multi-site Incentives, and Award Term Incentives. The annual self-assessment shall be submitted within five working days after the end of the appraisal period. NNSA will consider the Contractor's self-assessment in preparing the Performance Evaluation Report and when making its final fee recommendation to the NNSA Fee Determining Official (FDO).

Part III, Section J, Appendix F

ALLOCATION OF INCENTIVE FEE

The Maximum Available Fee related to the DOE/NNSA work effort for FY 2009 is \$45,542,169, 70% of which will be applied to Performance Incentive Fee. Therefore, the Maximum Performance Incentive Fee for FY 2009 is \$31,879,518. Approximately 50% of the Maximum Performance Incentive Fee is allocated to the Strategic Performance Objectives, 40% to the Stretch Incentives, and 10% to the Multi-site Incentives. The Contractor's performance rating, as determined by DOE/NNSA, will entitle the Contractor to collect up to the following maximum Performance Incentive Fee by category:

STRATEGIC PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE FEE (SUBJECTIVE)

Adjectival Rating	Mission		Operations		IM		Total Max. Fee %
	Max. Fee %	Max. Fee \$	Max. Fee %	Max. Fee \$	Max. Fee %	Max. Fee \$	
Outstanding	20%	\$6,375,904	20%	\$6,375,904	10%	\$3,187,952	50%
Good	10%	\$3,187,952	10%	\$3,187,952	5%	\$1,593,976	25%
Satisfactory	4%	\$1,275,181	4%	\$1,275,181	2%	\$637,590	10%
Unsatisfactory	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	0%

STRETCH INCENTIVE FEE (OBJECTIVE)

	Mission	Operations	IM	Total
Maximum Fee %	20%	12%	8%	40%
Maximum Fee \$	\$6,375,904	\$3,825,542	\$2,550,361	\$12,751,807
Incentive fee calculated by multiplying the percentage of Stretch Targets successfully completed within Mission, Operations, and IM by the corresponding dollars amounts set forth above.				

MULTI-SITE INCENTIVE FEE

Multi-site Target #	Title	Incentive Fee %	Incentive Fee \$
1.1	Stockpile- W76	1%	\$31,880
1.2	Stockpile- B61-7/11	1%	\$31,880
1.3	Stockpile- B61 LEP	7%	\$223,157
1.4	Stockpile- Weapon Dismantlement	5%	\$159,398
1.5	Stockpile- Deliver to DoD	1%	\$31,880
2.1	ACT- Complete \$100M Activities	15%	\$478,193
2.2	ACT- Supply Chain Management	5%	\$159,398

Part III, Section J, Appendix F

Multi-site Target #	Title	Incentive Fee %	Incentive Fee \$
2.3	ACT- Shipments of SNM	10%	\$318,795
2.4	ACT- Implement IT Plan	5%	\$159,398
3.1	Science- Empirical Parameters	18%	\$573,831
3.2	Science- NTS	5%	\$159,398
3.3	Science- NIF and ICF	20%	\$637,590
3.4	Science- Initiation System	1%	\$31,880
3.5	Science- Advance Power Supply	1%	\$31,880
3.6	Science- ASC Models	5%	\$159,398
Total Fee		100%	\$3,187,952

CHANGE CONTROL

Any changes to the PEP must be approved by the Contracting Officer. The content of the PEP can be revised through a formally defined Change Control Process that includes mutual agreement between NNSA and LLNS, supported by appropriate approvals. All changes should be initiated and documented using the PEP Change Request Form. However, the NNSA Livermore Site Office Manager reserves the unilateral right to make the final decision on all performance objectives and performance incentives (including the associated measures and targets) used to evaluate Contractor performance.

**TABLE 1
 ADJECTIVAL RATING CRITERIA**

Adjectival Rating	Definition
Outstanding	Substantially exceeds the standard of performance in many areas. Performance in critical and mission areas is at a high level and is accomplished in a manner that has substantial positive impact on the mission. Program/Project milestones and deliverables significantly exceed either or both of the budget and schedule expectations.
Good	Exceeds the standard of performance in many areas. Performance in critical and mission areas remain at a high level although there may be room for improvement in some areas. Program/Project milestones and deliverables exceed either or both of the budget and schedule expectations.
Satisfactory	Meets the standard of performance although there may be some areas requiring improvement but do not substantively affect overall performance. Program/Project milestones and deliverables are accomplished within budget and schedule.
Unsatisfactory	Does not meet the standard of performance in one or more areas. Deficiencies are serious, may affect one or a combination of mission, operations or cost.

FY 2009 Performance Evaluation Plan Revision 1.0
Multi-Site Targets & Success Criteria
Attachment 2

Item Score	FY09 Multi-Site Target	HQ NNSA Champion	FY09 Contractor Success Criteria	NNSA Owners	M&O Lead & Primary Supporting Sites
1	Stockpile	K. Greenaugh	<p>1.1. Achieve the W76-1 LEP scheduled deliveries to the U.S. Navy. (DSW)</p> <p>1.2. Complete the B61-7/11 Alteration 357 LEP program Pantex builds by DEC08. (DSW)</p> <p>1.3. Perform B61 LEP Phase 6.2/2A study to include completion of Requirements Development/ Analysis Stage. (DSW)</p> <p>1.4. Exceed(1>PCD) the scheduled weapon dismantlement quantities at Pantex and CSA dismantlement quantities at Y-12. (DSW)</p> <p>1.5. Deliver limited life components and alteration kits to the Department of Defense and complete all scheduled surveillance activities per authorized program management documents, i.e., PCDs and MNS Volume III. (DSW).</p>	<p>J. Oder, D. Rose.</p> <p>J. Oder, D. McKay</p> <p>J. Oder, W. Brasure</p> <p>J. Oder</p> <p>J. Oder, P. Neeley</p>	<p>PX (J. Woolery)/ LANL, SNL, KCP, Y-12.</p> <p>PX (J. Woolery)/ LLNL, LANL, SNL, KCP, SRS, Y-12.</p> <p>Lead: TBD./LANL, LLNL, SNL, PX, Y- 12, KCP, NTS, SRS.</p> <p>PX (J. Woolery)/ LLNL, LANL, SNL, KCP, Y-12, SRS.</p> <p>SRS (C. Gentile) PX, KCP, Y-12, NTS, LANL, SNL, LLNL.</p>
2	Accelerate Complex Transformation	D. Monette	<p>2.1. Achieve at least \$100M Complex-wide performance improvement scope, through completion of approved identified transformation activities. Barring extenuating circumstances, savings generated at a Site will remain at the respective site in FY 2009 to the maximum extent practicable to be applied to activities supporting NNSA transformation objectives.</p> <p>2.2. Promote the development and implementation of world-class supply chain attributes of the Supply Chain Management Center (SCMC) and purchasing organizations on a Complex-wide basis with substantial participation and collaboration from all sites. Reduce the cost of product/service commodities, increase the efficiency of the NNSA-Complex supply chain, and improve the site- the quality and retention of the NNSA-Complex contractor wide integration of SCMC tools and processes. Enhance acquisition workforce.</p> <p>2.3. Complete shipments of SNM for the Hanford de-inventory and remove 8 metric tons of SNM from NNSA sites to proper storage facilities between 10/1/08 and 9/30/09.</p> <p>2.4. Implement Elements from NNSA developed Multi-Site Information Technology (IT) Strategic Plan. Specifically complete the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop 2010 – 2016 Multi-Site IT targets Develop and communicate an integrated IT/Cyber roadmap to strengthen integration between IT and Cyber Security </p>	<p>G. Allen</p> <p>D. Boyd, S. Clemons</p> <p>D. Dunsworth</p> <p>R. Brese</p>	<p>LANL (G. Mara) PX, KCP, Y-12, NTS, SRS, SNL, LLNL.</p> <p>KCP (S. Ackley) PX, SRS, Y-12, NTS, LANL, SNL, LLNL.</p> <p>Y-12 (B. Ries) PX, SRS, NTS, LANL, SNL, LLNL.</p> <p>SNL (A. Hale) PX, KCP, Y-12, NTS, LANL, SRS, LLNL.</p>

FY 2009 Performance Evaluation Plan Revision 1.0
 Multi-Site Targets & Success Criteria
 Attachment 2

Item Score	FY09 Multi-Site Target	HQ NNSA Champion	FY09 Contractor Success Criteria	NNSA Owners	M&O Lead & Primary Supporting Sites
3	Science	D. Kusnezov	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Define a IT services framework for the nuclear weapons complex • Complete cross-complex comparison of IT costs and identify best practices and potential cost reductions • Develop complex-wide information sharing environment implementation plan • Develop a real-time or near real-time out-of-band incident and response network which can support unclassified incident management complex-wide (NNSA CIO) <p>3.1. Replace empirical parameters. Complete a cumulative 50% progress in replacing key empirical parameters in the nuclear explosive package assessment and simulation codes improved physical data and physics-based models. Demonstrate progress by completion of agreed upon level 2 milestones in FY 2009. (Science)</p> <p>3.2. Prepare an integrated program of work at the NTS which prioritizes all of the diagnostic development and capability maintenance independent of the particular laboratory or specific subprogram being supported. (Science)</p> <p>3.3. Complete the National Ignition Facility Construction Project on schedule by March 2009 and conduct the first stewardship relevant experiment. (ICF)</p> <p>3.4. Demonstrate all of the components of an advanced initiation system that when integrated would provide a new level of safety for future weapon firing-systems with the goal of removing any exception to the Major Assembly Release (MAR). (Engineering)</p> <p>3.5. Demonstrate an advanced power-supply system (proto-typical) for future stockpile applications. (Engineering)</p> <p>3.6. Deliver a validated suite of Advanced Simulation & Computing (ASC) models & databases that can be applied to National Technical Nuclear Forensics (NTNF) activities, debris signature modeling and other nuclear security applications. (ASC)</p>	C. Deeney	LLNL (M Dunning), /LANL, SNL.
				C. Deeney	NTS (P. Lee) /LLNL, LANL, SNL.
				C. Deeney	LLNL (G. Bonano) /LANL, SNL
				R. Jones	SNL (C. Knapp) /LLNL, LANL
				R. Jones	SNL (C. Knapp) /LLNL, LANL
				N. Frazier	LANL (McMillan), /SNL, LLNL

FY 2009 Performance Evaluation Plan Revision 1.0
 Multi-Site Targets & Success Criteria
 Attachment 2

Acronym / Term	Definition
ASC	Advanced Simulation and Computing
CSA	Canned Sub-Assembly
DoD	Department of Defense
DOE	Department of Energy
DRAAG	Design Review And Acceptance Group
ERLLC	Engineered Resources, LLC (limited life component)
FPU	First Production Unit
FWDR	Final Weapons Development Report
FY	Fiscal Year
HAR	Hazard Analysis Report
HQ	Headquarters
ICPT	Integrated Commodity Procurement Team
IRM	Information Resources Management
KCP	Kansas City Plant
LANL	Los Alamos National Laboratory
LASO	Los Alamos Site Office
LEP	Life Extension Program
LLC	Limited Life Component
LLNL	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
M&O	Management & Operator
MT	Metric Tons
NEP	Nuclear Explosive Package
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Administration
NTS	Nevada Test Site
OS	Operating System
P&PD	Production & Planning Directive
PCD	Program Control Document
PEIS	Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement
PPM	Powerless Pump Module
PX	Pantex Facility
RMI	Requirements Modernization & Integration
RRW	Reliable Replacement Warhead
SCMC	Supply Chain Management Center
SLBM	Submarine Launched Ballistic Missile
SNL	Sandia National Laboratories
SNM	Special Nuclear Material
SO	Site Office
SRS	Savannah River Site
SS-21	Safety & Security for the 21 st Century
TLCC	Tri-lab Linux Capacity Cluster
TriPoD	Tri-lab Productivity on Demand
WR	War Reserve
Y-12	Y-12 National Security Complex

FY 2009 Performance Evaluation Plan
Award Incentives

No	LLNS POC	LSO POC	Criteria	Comments to Include: Issues/Concerns/Accomplishments
1	Patrick Dempsey	Janis Parenti	Contractor Assurance System	
2	James LeMay	Sam Brinker	Mission	
3	Merna Hurd	Janis Parenti	Laboratory Management - Key Personnel	
4	Barbara Seymour	Duane Gordon	Security Improvements	
5	Stephanie Goodwin	Daniel Nakahara	ISM Implementation	